# CHARACTERISATION OF CONFORMATIONAL CHANGES BY FLOW INDUCED

## **DISPERSION ANALYSIS**

#### VERSION 2.1

Dr Melanie Hug, Research Associate, University of Applied Sciences, School for Life Sciences, Institute for Chemistry and Bioanalytics, Hofackerstrasse 30, 4132 Muttenz, Switzerland

- Assessment of global structural changes of proteins and assemblies
- Detailed in-solution characterisation of protein-small molecule interactions
- Native conditions and low amount of sample volume
- Simultaneous assessment of in-solution binding affinity, structural change and absolute size

#### ∧ Fidabio

### INTRODUCTION

Many biological processes are regulated through the interactions of proteins with small molecules or other proteins. In many cases, these interactions induce conformational changes that directly modulate activities or provide new binding sites that facilitate building higher-order complexes. As a model system, we used Maltose Binding Protein (MBP), a member of the bacterial periplasmic binding protein superfamily.

MBP is the soluble component of the maltodextrin transport system and resides in the periplasm of Gram-negative bacteria, where it shuttles its ligands – maltose, maltotriose and maltoheptaose – to the membrane-bound transporter complex. The ligand-binding site of MBP is positioned between two domains separated by a hinge region.



Figure 1. (A) Structural representation of the MBP (42.5 kDa) in the apo position (left, open) and in the Maltosebound position (right, closed) composed of MBP and Maltose (360 Dalton) which was analyzed in this work. (B) Overlay of open (light pink) and closed (light blue) structure of MBP.

#### **MATERIAL & METHODS**

Fida 1 instrument with 480 nm LED fluorescence detection for binding experiments respectively (Fidabio ApS). FIDA standard capillary (i.d.: 75  $\mu$ m, LT: 100 cm, Leff: 84 cm). Tris buffer pH 7.4 (20mM Tris, 150mM sodium chloride, 0.05% Tween) was used as the working buffer. MBP was used as indicator (4.3ugmL-1, 100nM). MBP was labelled with an Atto 488 NHS ester from Sigma Aldrich. Maltose (O- $\alpha$ -D-Glucopyranosyl-D-glucose from Sigma M9171) was used as the analyte (0-1000  $\mu$ M). Sample analysis was performed by filling the capillary with the analyte, followed by an injection of preincubated indicator and analyte, which was mobilized towards the detector with analyte at 400 mbar.



#### RESULTS

Maltose induces a conformational change on the Maltose Binding Protein.

The FIDA technology provides an absolute measurement of hydrodynamic radius (Rh), and it was used to measure size changes of Atto488-labeled MBP (42.5 kDa) upon structural changes related to binding to Maltose (0.3 kDa). The change in apparent Rh of MBP was plotted as a function of increasing Maltose concentration (0-1000  $\mu$ M) at 25°C as shown in Figure 2A. The Rh of MBP decreases from 2.88nm to 2.62nm which corresponds to a  $\Delta$ Rh of 0.26nm, clearly indicating a structural change upon binding (Figure 2A). The evaluated Kd for this interaction is in the range of 10  $\mu$ M which corresponds to the literature [1,2]. In Figure 2, the overlay FIDA signal of MBP and MBP-Maltose is shown. In Figure 2B, the indicator peak gets narrower in the presence of Maltose. The peak areas of FIDA taylorgrams were exploited for simultaneously probing the fluorescence intensity of MBP at increasing Maltose concentration. It shows that the fluorescence of MBP was affected by Maltose (Figure 2B), allowing an orthogonal estimate of binding (data not shown).



Figure 2. (A) Associated binding curve between MBP and Maltose analyzed by FIDA at 25°C. The Rh of MBP was plotted as a function of increasing concentration of Maltose. (B) The raw data of the MBP showing the indicator peak getting narrower in presence of Maltose (dotted line) in comparison to the bold line (MBP alone).

#### CONCLUSION

The presented data show how conformational changes of proteins can be measured in-solution using the FIDA technology. FIDA provides in-depth assessment of activity combined with local and global protein structural changes by measuring the overall hydrodynamic radius of the protein with minimal sample consumption (5  $\mu$ L). In addition, it is possible to measure the affinity constant for the analyzed interaction.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Shahir S Rizk et al.; Allosteric control of ligand-binding affinity using engineered conformation-specific effector proteins., 2011, Natural structure & molecular biology; Vol 18 No. 4, 2011.p. 437-444.
- 2.Shahir S. Rizk et al.; Allosteric Control of Ligand Binding Affinity Using Engineered Conformation-Specific Effector Proteins., 2011 April; 18(4): 437–442. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2002.